

Creation vs. Science - (God Wins! Game over.)

Educational, Truthful, and *slightly Entertaining* (for Christians)

Introduction: (Proof is Here.)

Ever since I can remember, scientists have giving creationists a hard time because they didn't have *concrete proof*. I didn't like the arguments because the debates usually went back and forth until the religious person was forced to fall back on 'faith', which is *believing what they can't see or prove*. However, it was always a *draw* because the scientists couldn't prove anything *either*. However, the results were usually spun to *sound like* the scientists were following *facts* and the Christians were following *fantasy*.

Well, *those days are over* because **I have concrete proof** in the form of **current events**, which are **many verses in a row** (Daniel 11) that show exactly what is happening in the Middle East, leading to the 'abomination', which is exactly what *Jesus* said to watch for. The *abomination* will accompany the *rapture*, which starts a 2300-day 'tribulation period', which ends with Armageddon. BTW, my explanation of the **Seals** solves all contradictions in Revelation (or any other book of the Bible), so scientists **don't have a leg to stand on**. Like I've always said, "A person doesn't have to have 'blind-faith' to see the signs in Daniel 11; all they have to do is *watch*." (more details on faith in the conclusion)

Nevertheless, I've learned that it's *not enough* just to present a *logical* theory and have people accept it; **a person has to 'disprove' everyone else**.

Well, 'science' is a broad field so I'll address the *basics* (in categories), and show that scientists violate some of their 'own' *basic principles*, when talking about creation. If that's true, then any argument, by them, is *void of any credibility*. Plus, I'll comment along the way to explain how 'creation', *from the Bible*, **makes much better sense**.

Completed: 10-30-2015

Available in PDF (w/o animations) for your atheist 'friends' (or enemies).
It's all done in love.

Note: I changed DNA section to comment on Richard Dawkins interview: 11-2-2015

Burning questions:

Who am I?

What are my Credentials?

I'm just a person who knows a *little* about science and a *lot* about **God**, so in other words; I'm the scientists/atheist's *worse nightmare* (Bill Nye), or I'm their *last 'chance'* for 'salvation'. **It's their choice.**

Revelation 3:20 [Jesus said,]

"I stand at the door and knock."

"If anyone *hears* my voice and **opens the door**, I will come in and eat with him, and he with me."

Why am I naming Bill Nye?

In my opinion, he's the most **devious** kind of 'tool' that Satan has used in a long time. He spent *part* of his life introducing science to *children* and was *loved* for it.

Then, he attempted to gain the affection of *adults*, on 'Dancing with the Stars', but *afterwards*; pulled back the curtains to reveal the 'face of Satan' (referring to his *book on atheism*). *I feel personally deceived* because I rooted for him on Dancing with the Stars. *Yuck*.

Nevertheless, even Bill Nye can be *forgiven* and '**saved**', if he chooses. Given his **past**, that would be **wise**.

Matthew 18:5-6 [Jesus said,]

"And whoever welcomes a **little child** like this in **my name** welcomes **me**."

(6) *But* if anyone causes one of these little ones **who believe in me to sin**, it would be better for him to have a large **millstone** hung around his neck and to be **drowned in the depths of the sea**."

Note: It was a "**danger**" because they were **desiring "fame"** and "**ignoring**" God's **message from Noah**. (Genesis 11: 4-8)

Side Note: It's interesting that 2015 was the **70th anniversary** of the UN.

Preface: (Advancements in Science)

I think Paul summed it up best, to the Romans, in one of his last letters. (*below*) A person can sense Paul's frustration as he tried to get the secular world of Rome, who had benefited from many scientific advancements, by that time, to believe in the *invisible* God, rather than their *own* wisdom.

Romans 1: 18-25

18 The wrath of God is being revealed from heaven against all the **godlessness** and **wickedness** of men who **suppress the truth** by their wickedness, (19) since what may be known about God is **plain to them**, because **God has made it plain to them**.

20 For since the creation of the world **God's invisible qualities**-his eternal power and divine nature-have been **clearly seen**, being understood from what has been made, so that **men are without excuse**.

21 For although they **knew God**, they **neither glorified him as God nor gave thanks** to him, but their **thinking became futile** and their **foolish hearts were darkened**.

22 Although they **claimed to be wise, they became fools** (23) and exchanged the glory of the immortal God for images made to look like mortal man and birds and animals and reptiles. (24) Therefore God gave them over in the sinful desires of their hearts to sexual impurity for the degrading of their bodies with one another.

25 They exchanged the **truth of God for a lie**, and worshiped and served **created things rather than the Creator**-who is forever praised. **Amen**.

As Paul said, "*man had no excuse*" because whatever instruments they were using at the time, from plain eye-sight, to sophisticated microscopes and telescopes, the evidence always pointed to a divine Creator because some things can't happen by chance and others can't appear from nowhere. Scientists have *learned these things* but instead of returning to common sense and believing in God, they have chosen to violate their *own "laws of nature"*, (which are *proven* scientific discoveries), and continue worshiping things they've *created*. **Jesus said**, "*What good is it for a man to gain the whole world, and yet forfeit his soul?*" (Mark 8:36)

1 Corinthians 1: 18-20 [Paul said, (and quoting Isaiah 29:14)]

18 For the message of the cross is foolishness to those who are perishing, but to us who are being saved it is the power of God.

19 *For it is written:* "**I will destroy the wisdom of the wise;** the intelligence of the intelligent **I will frustrate**."

20 Where is the wise man? Where is the scholar? Where is the philosopher of this age? **Has not God made foolish the wisdom of the world?**

One advancement in science, such as computers and internet, can be *both good and bad*. I'm intrigued by the ability for one person to speak to another, from a different language, and having it converted, *by computer*, so the other person can understand, *in real time*. Now, that's inventing ways to get around God's *pre-designed barrier*, which was put in place at the tower of Babel, *in Babylon*, around the 4th generation after Noah. In fact, there's a program called '*babel*', which translates to almost any language. Add voice recognition and speech play-back and you have an apt that makes your phone into a translator, which is *almost* real-time. I first noticed the technology on clips of UN speeches, where diplomats were listening to ear-pieces, in their own language. Of course, they were probably hearing the delayed voice of a translator but the ability for the world to communicate and *unite together* was one of the '**dangers**' that God was '**prolonging**', by confusing the speech. It's interesting that, *after all this time*, man thinks they can *outwit* God, *but they can't*.

Part 1:

'Scientific' creation theory violates the Laws of 'Science'.

1. "Law of Conservation of Energy"

One thing that Science 'fails' to explain is: "Where did all the energy come from?"



The "Law of Conservation of Energy" states that matter and energy cannot be created or destroyed, only *changed*. In other words, there's only a finite amount matter and energy, in the universe, and that total is not increasing or decreasing. Yet, it change forms, giving off heat energy, as it changes from one substance to another. One example is how fire changes wood into ash and gives off heat energy (and some toxic gasses), which are dissipated through the air until they are diluted to the point of negligence. Yet, nothing has disappeared; it has just changed forms. Atomic energy is a whole different matter because atoms (matter) are being changed *directly into energy*, rather than another substance, at the rate of: **E=mc²** (where c=speed of light) I'll discuss more about atoms in part 2 but that's a *lot of energy*.

Kinetic Energy:

$$K = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$$

So where did all that 'initial' energy come from, if not from the 'Almighty Creator'? Well, the Bible explains all about that.

However, scientists want to say that everything was created in a "big bang". I enjoy the *comedy* but anyone should know that a 'big collision' requires at least one object to be *moving*, which requires *kinetic energy*, which requires an *outside* force, meaning something *bigger* than the universe, such as an Almighty Creator! Granted, there are various forms of *energy* that can *move* an object, other than *physical force*, but they would all have to *come from 'something'*. Even the 'wind' has *kinetic energy*, because it has small particles of matter (called molecules), which can transfer their momentum to other objects, such as trees and houses, in a storm. However, *wind energy* has to come from another source, mostly the *sun*, heating air unevenly because of clouds, and 'hot air rises', plus the 'spin of the earth', which adds another dimension to storms, but those are all sources of energy from the Almighty Creator. If not, where did the objects *come from*, along with the *energy* to propel them together? *Science just can't say*.

Even though scientists discovered *enormous* amount of energy within *each atom* (and displayed it by *splitting one*), they still didn't think about where that energy *came from* or the tremendous amount it took to *create* all the matter on earth. Since everything that is *not* plant or animal is made up of *atoms*, creation took a *lot of energy*. Yet, the total hasn't changed since everything began? Where did all the energy come from and why did it stop? The Bible answers that easily but Scientists would rather violate their own "Laws of Nature".

2. "Law of Entropy"

Basically, the "Law of Entropy" says that if anything is left alone, and unprotected from outside forces, it will go into a state of *disarray* and *deteriorate* to its *lowest* energy form.

One example is an 'old house' that has been reduced to its rock foundation or chimney, which I've seen while exploring abandoned dirt roads, probably from the 1920's or 30's, (because of the railroad bridges). My point is: Living things such as weeds and vines will eventually bring a house down. The wood will rot and insects will consume it for their *own* energy. Even the mortar around the rocks can eventually give way because rain-water gets in the cracks, expands when frozen, making bigger cracks, and repeating, until the rocks fall.



In fact, nothing in the known universe goes in the reverse direction and puts itself back together. For example, large rocks break from mountains and cause avalanches because gravity is a force that can propel things downhill but they eventually come to their *lowest* energy. In the example, potential energy was acted upon by a force (gravity) and became kinetic energy (moving) then *dissipated* in other forms during collisions, which produce *sound energy* and *heat energy* (friction), until gravity is no longer acting on the rock. At that point, the rocks are at their *lowest energy state* and will remain 'in place' unless acted upon by *another* force. In other words, *stuff just don't move by itself*. That would violate the Law of Entropy because an outside force, to whatever system, would have to exist. Therefore, an Almighty Creator of the universe *must exist* for the universe to have a *start*.



Of course, living things are different from houses and mountains but if left alone, without sources of energy, such as food, they will just *die* and *decay* in the same fashion. Yet, Scientists want people to believe that this complex, inter-related system of "life on earth" just happened by *chance* and evolved from *one-celled organisms in water!* I want to ask, "What's in their water?" But seriously, that's why scientists keep trying to find *water on other planets* but that won't prove anything. They are still violating their own "Law of Entropy", *on this planet*, because organisms *don't go to a higher energy state* and *get more organized*.



Part 2:

"Life" on Earth (This is no accident.)


Building on that topic, I'd like to discuss the *complex system* of *self-sustaining* "Life on Earth" that scientist think came from *one-celled-organisms in water*. Besides the *obvious* question of: "who created those?", I'll show just how much they're violating the "Law of Entropy" because we're *not just talking about human life*.

Flowers, Bees, and Birds (Plant Reproduction and Propagation)

Since plants weren't given freedom of movement like animals, most produce flowers, which emit a scent to attract bees or insects to carry their pollen to a different flower for fertilization. At that point, the flower produces a fruit, which may be edible or not, but usually contains seeds for future plants. If the correct conditions are met for 'germination', such as soil, sun, and moisture, a new plant will be formed, usually around the original plant. However, birds like to eat fruit and seeds, which can pass through their digestive system and get pooped out, not only spreading the plant to a new location, but giving it a small dose of fertilizer to help it along in germination. Therefore plants were given a complicated means of reproduction and propagation, which could never have happened from a one-celled organism in water.

The delicate 'balance' of air

Yet, scientists want people to believe that *living organisms*, made of *cells*, which are known to need *food* to survive and 'reproduce' (with one exception) more cells of the '**samekind**', just *magically* divided into '*two groups*' called '*plant-cells*' and '*animal-cells*', which by *chance* rely so intricately on each other, to balance the carbon-dioxide and oxygen in the air, to make it fit for animals and humans to *breathe again*. Scientists only recently discovered this delicate relationship (after the advancement of microscopes and other devices) but even though they continue to discover more about the natural '*order of earth*', they still choose to violate their own Law of Entropy and say that everything happened by *chance*. **Now, who has blind-faith?**



Plant-cell



animal-cell

These diagrams may look similar but plant-cells contain chlorophyll, which gives them a green color, and converts *light*, from the sun, to *energy* for growth. (called photosynthesis)



Our bodies obtain vitamin D from the *sun* but *not* as food or energy. Actually, vitamin D is a '*catalyst*' to help '*convert*' food to energy.

A. "Energy" of Life ('keeping' the world alive)

1. Plant Energy (energy for humans)

Besides providing *oxygen* for breathing, plants play an essential role in the '*food-chain*' because everything *eats plants*, or *some other animal*, which *eats plants*, or some other plant-like organism. In other words, plants are *lowest* on the food-chain and essential for providing *energy*, to all humans and animals, for survival. Simply put, *all our energy*, from food, somehow comes from *plants*, directly or indirectly.

So where do plants get 'energy', if no energy is created?

Miraculously, plants take *energy from the sun*, which has plenty to last, and food from the *soil and water*, to re-produce *more* plant cells and provide food for humans and animals. Equally amazing is that animal poop, and other things, *decay* and provide nutrients *back* to the soil so plants can thrive and the whole process starts all over. Therefore, *all the energy* that we consume and re-use indirectly comes from the '*sun or earth*', which '*God created*' to be '*self-sustaining*', so *no additional energy* would ever be necessary. *It makes sense*.

2. Atoms: (God's building blocks for 'matter' and 'earth')

Even though a scientist can't actually *see* an atom, they know a lot about their physical composition through experiments. Basically, atoms are mostly 'empty space', with protons and neutrons, in the middle, and smaller electrons orbiting at tremendous speeds. When you think about it, God took the '*planetary design*' of 'gravity and revolution' and '*miniaturized it*' to make the *atom*. He built everything from *those* and even chose to call the first man '*Adam*'. Now, that's an *organized 'and clever'* Almighty Creator!

Differences between mixtures, solutions, and reactions.


Mixtures are when all substances *can still be seen*, such as salt mixed with pepper.

Solutions are when one substance dissolves and *cannot be seen*, such as salt mixed with water. The salt may disappear but the molecules have not changed. They can be separated again by various means, as with mixtures.

Reactions are when two substances change chemical bonds and form a whole new substance, such as water and iron making rust. The original substances are *gone* but the total number of atoms is always maintained. Heat energy is either taken-in or expelled, depending on the substances, and whether the '*new bonds*' require more or less energy.


'Energy' in Atoms

Energy is *stored* in atoms because it takes a '*huge*' *centrifugal force* to hold those electrons in orbit. The *amount* of force is displayed by the nuclear *explosion* that occurs when an atom is split and the electrons are *no longer held in place*. Scientists have known about the "*Law of Conservation of Energy*", for centuries, but *more recently* learned about the *enormous* amount of energy *contained* in atoms. Granted, the total amount of '*matter and energy*' remains constant but where did all that energy come from to hold those electrons in place? A '*big-bang*' theory just doesn't explain it because *something* had to create those large objects, which crashed together, and *they already contained energy* because *all atoms contain energy*.



Atom

Note: the blue and red electrons (outside) are because they have opposite spin (called + & -).




Mixture of molecules

Note: the atoms bond together to form various molecules but it's a mixture because they can still be identified.



Atomic energy can be good or bad.



Water on the earth

Of course, water contains 'energy' but it also has a unique property that helps 'protect' life on earth. I mean: Water is the *only* substance, known to man, that 'expands' when it goes into its solid state. That means it gets *less dense*, in its frozen state, and 'floats' on its liquid state. **It's the only one!** That's critical to 'life' because if ponds froze and *ice sunk*, more water at the top would *freeze and sink*, eventually filling the pond and killing the fish and everything else. *As designed*, the ice stays at the top and forms an insulated layer that keeps the rest from freezing, thus maintaining life in the sea and elsewhere.

Scientists think that water has this unique property because the two hydrogen atoms reside closer to one side, on the oxygen atom, instead of being equally spaced.

However, *I know* it's because *God designed it that way*. He made water even before He created 'life' so it had to be a 'special substance', in order to be found in 'all living things'.

Water in the body

Humans depend on the 'fish' in the sea, *for food*, but 'clean drinking water' is also 'essential for life'. That's because the human body contains over 60% water, on average. For example, blood is 92% water, bone is 22%, and everything else is somewhere in-between. Thus, a human can only live about one week without water (depending on conditions). Even though water covers about 2/3 of the earth's surface, only about 1% is readily drinkable. That's because about 98% is salty and 'standing water' can develop contaminations. Miraculously, 'well' water is clean because the earth provides *its own filtering system* but it takes 'energy' to dig the well, and *more energy* to get the water to the surface (either human or mechanical), in order to drink. To make bad or salty water drinkable, 'energy' must be provided, either to boil or pump it through sophisticated filters, both of which takes fuel, heat, and money, all of which has to be provided from *somewhere*. In other words, fresh water is a *gift* from God (*literally from rain*) but as with food (*after sin*); God only provides a certain amount and man has to 'work' (*provide energy*) for the rest.

Humans for good

Sometimes, people take the *simple* things in life for *granted*, such as fresh drinking water.

I admire people such as Bill and Melinda Gates or Warren Buffet who use their money to *find solutions* and alleviate suffering of the less fortunate. (They can't very well be anonymous, all the time, but they don't make a big deal over it.)

1 John 3:18

"Dear children, let us not love with words or tongue but with actions and in truth."

God provided the *means for life* but humans have to *help each other*, to overcome the *adversities* of nature.

Creation from the Bible makes 'logical' sense.

Day 1, in addition to the 'power source' (sun), God gave earth its 'spin' because He created 'day' and 'night'. Otherwise, it was **'all water' at that point**.

Day 2, the only thing that God did was 'separate the water', 'above and below', which from earth's vantage point; He called 'sky'. Yet, everything was **still just 'water'**.

Day 3, God created 'land' and filled it with all sorts of vegetation, making sure to mention the 'fruit and seeds', which continue the 'cycle' to the next generation. However, things had just begun so there was *no cycle 'yet'*.

Day 4, God engineered the earth's 'elliptical orbit' because *that* created the 'seasons', which gave plants their 'cycle': to *grow*, make *fruit*, and *produce more plants*. While God was setting the *earth's* orbit around the sun, He went ahead and created the moon and gave it an orbit around the earth, to 'reflect light' at night and help mark 'time'. He also created other planets, and gave them orbits. On the same day, God created other 'stars', farther away of course, *with their 'own energy'*, just to be 'lights for earth at night'.

Day 5, God *really* got creative by creating birds to fly *above the land* and filling the seas with all sorts of living creatures. He specifically said, "according to their kind", so birds and fish *didn't* evolve from 'one organism', which DNA now *logically* proves.

Day 6, God created every animal on *land*, "according to its kind", so again *no evolution*. Then, He created man in His own image and told him to *rule* over the other animals and *enjoy* the 'fruit' of God's creation (*except for one*). We know how that story goes.

Day 7, God rested. Of course, sin changed everything but God is executing a plan to beat Satan, *in his own timing*, and dwell with humans, *after the 7th day*, as originally planned. *A person can actually see God's plan unfolding in the Middle East, (*with Daniel 11 'unsealed'*).

H2O (the first 'molecule' of creation)

God's way makes *perfect* sense. After all, Water is known to be a 'special' (one-of-a-kind) combination of the most 'abundant' and 'simple' atom in the universe with the atom that's *most vital* to our 'breathing' and 'blood operation' (*which 'keep' humans alive*). Of course, God used water in most everything 'alive' because it was his first creation, even before the sun.


FYI: Yet, not before the 'Son', and 'angels to serve', because God said, "Let 'us' make man in 'our' image." (talking to Jesus). However, Satan *didn't want to serve* and rebelled.

In fact, Satan is still trying to *deceive people* and disrupt God's creation, now more than ever, as witnessed by the rise of atheism (at least in the US). Satan and his evolution theory is 'one-big-lie' and even *violates known scientific discoveries*. God's creation narrative is not only the **'best explanation'** but it's the **'only logical' solution**.

With God, a 1000-years is 'like a day'.



Simplified Timeline of '7000-years'



Scientists even know the exact angle between the Hydrogen is 104 but they say, "it just happened by chance", like they say about *everything*. Enough already.

C. "Miracle" of Life ('anatomy' of a human)

1. Reproductive System

The "one exception" above, where a living cell *doesn't* re-produce an *exact replica* of itself is the 'egg cell' (or *seed* for plants). Miraculously, the egg cell somehow knows to divide into many different kinds of cells, such as skin-cells, bone-cells, blood-cells, brain-cells, tissue-cells, kidney-cells, (you get my point), which *from that point* only re-produce themselves, *not others*. In other words, a blood-cell wouldn't suddenly change and produce skin-cells. That would be bad. Similarly, the *leaves* on a maple tree wouldn't suddenly change and start making *bark*. Yet, the 'egg-cell' and 'seed' *know* to divide and become the complex organisms found on earth. Why do *other* cells not do the same thing? It's the "miracle of life" and in God's 'clever' design, *man's sperm* is required with *woman's egg*, to *start* the process. Now, how could that happen 'by chance' from a one-celled organism? *The more man learns, the more foolish they become.*

The Miracle of "Birth"

Once the egg is 'fertilized' and the miracle *begins to happen*, it takes various lengths of time (*and conditions*) for the 'new organism' to be 'introduced' to the world. At that point, it takes *more energy* and *delicate attention*, to allow it to grow more and reach a mature state. That's way too *complicated* and requires too much *work* to happen by chance. Ask any mother.

A *human baby* is kept in an embryo sac for about 9 months, much like an egg, until the so-called "water" bursts, (which is like the 'egg breaking'), because the *miracle is complete*.

2. Blood System

Scientists have developed many *synthetic* materials, which can be used in the body, but one thing that they will *never produce* is 'blood' because blood is the '*essence of life*'. A person might live a few days without food or water but without an ample supply of *air and blood*, a person will die in seconds! That's because the blood does such a vital job within the body (together with air) to accept *oxygen* from the lungs and distribute it to other systems within the body. Without which, they stop working pretty quickly. At the same time as drop-off occurs, the blood *picks-up* the carbon-dioxide waste, which cells produce and *must get rid of*, and takes it back to the lungs for expulsion into the atmosphere for plants.

What a design! Plus, if a person *donates* blood, then the '*rest of their blood*' starts re-producing cells, until there's a certain amount again, *and then they stop*. Wow. If the donated blood is placed in another's body (with compatible type), it *resumes* its vital function of 'delivery and pick-up', with the *other blood*. How ingenious? Scientist can make artificial kidneys or iron-lungs to *clean* the blood and artificial hearts to *pump* the blood but scientists will *never produce* the "*life-giving substance of blood*" that behaves like only it can behave.


If scientists can't synthetically produce blood, *by now*, how could it just magically occur 'by chance' and start doing what it does, to sustain life? Talk about blind-faith! I could go on-and-on about the various systems of the body and how *miraculously* they work together but I *think* I've made my point: "**It's foolish not to believe in an Almighty Creator.**"

3. The 'Brain', five senses, and the 'Soul'


Another amazing thing about animals and humans are their ability to sense the world around them and retain knowledge for future reference. That knowledge is recorded in *physical and electrical* connections, within the brain, much like a computer records 1's and 0's. However, a brain is much more powerful. That's because it has a system of nerves and sensors that can detect external conditions and make decisions based on logic, **morals**, and **emotion**. Then, the brain retains knowledge about the actions and results, triggering **feelings**, which can lead to happiness, sadness, or anything-in-between. Computers can sense the conditions around them and make decisions, based on logic and programing, but they *can't have feelings*.

Isn't it odd that scientists have *concluded* that we only use about 10% of our brain? Or else they just haven't figured out what the other 90% does. Similarly, Scientists deny that the '*soul*' exist, in the body, *but they just haven't found it*. Obviously, it's in the other 90% of the brain but scientists just haven't discovered the right instruments to detect it. Yet, that explains why everyone has the *same capacity* to know our Creator, *if we try*, because it was '*built into us*'. That's why we can discover more-and-more '*about God*' just by *thinking deeply and asking questions* of His "*Holy Spirit*", which resides in *all Christians*. Yet, that part of the brain *doesn't activate itself*. A person must make a *conscience decision* to '*believe in Jesus*' and then God will establish a connection and *the person will know for sure*.

Granted, every '*soul*' lives *forever*, but what you believe about Jesus will determine '*where*'. Scientists claim that *everyone* only uses 10% of their brains but in reality; *Christians use more*.




Blood is about 92% water. (L)
Healthy blood consists of only about 1% 'white blood cells' but they are critical in fighting infections. (R)



Part 3:

Proof that 'Almighty God' is the same 'God in the Bible'

Besides 'faith' and our 'soul' (in our brains), how does God prove His existence?



Related page: John-the-Baptist (a personal tribute)

Historically speaking, God's chosen people were scared, when God spoke in a thunderous voice, atop Mt. Sinai all ablaze, so they asked Moses to 'relay' future messages. Thus, God stopped proving Himself, directly, and started speaking through prophets, who would give messages to whomever, even kings. Yet, the only true way to tell if a message is from God or not, according to Moses, was to see if it came true. Time and again, God's messages would come true, only to have people forget again, and even try to kill the prophets.

So, how does God prove his existence, now? The same way He always has: by "telling the future" and having it "come true", which distinguishes Him from useless idol gods.

Side note:

Following that bold statement, God delivered on His claim by telling Isaiah the 'exact name' of the person who would allow the Temple to be 're-built', Cyrus.

Yet, at that time, the Temple had not been destroyed so they probably thought Isaiah was crazy. Yet, God actually said the name, Cyrus, 3 times!

(Isaiah 44:28; 45:1 & 45:13)

Centuries later, in the time of Daniel, "Cyrus the Persian" defeated Babylon and allowed the Jews to 'return' and start the 2nd Temple, just like God said.

Of course, there are many examples, in the Bible, but scientists don't accept those because they are just 'documents'.

I guess they feel like modern-day Israel was just a 'coincidence'.

However, the unsealing of Dan. 11 cannot be denied because many modern-day events are following 2500-year-old scripture and I'm explaining them 'ahead of time'.

Now, that's concrete proof! (see current events)

Isaiah 44: 6b-7 [God said,]

"I am the first and I am the last; apart from me there is no God."

"Who then is like me? Let him proclaim it. Let him declare and lay out before me what has happened since I established my ancient people, and what is yet to come -- yes, let him foretell what will come."

God did foretell what would come, to the prophet Daniel, but 'sealed' the most detailed information, which was the "Book of Truth" (Daniel 11), until 'end times' actually arrived.

Now, God has graciously 'unsealed' the true meaning of Daniel 11 so people can actually see modern-day signs (current events) matching the Bible. It's God's generous way of giving everyone an easy 'chance' to develop 'faith', in end times, so His house will be full. Yes, a person still needs 'faith in Jesus' to be 'saved', even if they 'watch the signs', as illustrated in the Parable of the Ten Virgins. (Matthew 25: 1-13) Basically, a person can 'watch' and even 'see some signs', but they still need an 'open-mind', to 'believe in Jesus'.

Conclusion

The more scientists discover about earth and how it works, the more they should appreciate the intricate design of an Almighty Creator, but they do just the opposite. Instead, they rely on their own wisdom and pervert the truth with a version of creation that's obviously impossible (based on previous findings about energy and order). They're flat wrong.

Scientists continue to strive for achievements in science and medicine, to make humans more comfortable and live longer (and perhaps for financial gain). However, they haven't cured sadness or death. Only Jesus defeated death and was given the authority to offer eternal life. On top of that, God has promised to "wipe every tear from our eyes", thus eliminating sadness and pain. Science can 'freeze the brain' but they can't beat death.

In fact, some scientists think they're 'so wise' (Bill Nye) but Jesus defined wisdom as 'putting God's Word into practice'. (Matt 7:24) If a scientist did that, then they might actually 'become wise' and discover the 'real Truth'. I'm not joking; I hope they really do.

Of course, some scientists are going to see this page and call me a fool (or worse). However, that won't bother me because 'I know God' and that makes me "wiser and stronger" than any scientist. (below) I'm not here to debate; I'm just here to expose the Truth.

Another devious 'tool of Satan'

I despise the commercials where Ron Reagan Jr. acts so smug and literally taunts God with his atheism and says, "I'm not afraid of hell."

I use the mute-button or fast-forward, now, but His message is exceptionally bad because many Americans respect his dad (the 40th president).

Solomon said that 'fear of the Lord' is the beginning of 'Knowledge', so I'll let others fill in the rest.

Christianity is about 'loving' your 'brothers in Christ' and having 'compassion' on the 'lost', such as Ron Reagan Jr.

I just wish he wouldn't taunt his unbelief on television and try to influence others to 'join him'. That's what's especially nauseating.

1 Peter 4: 4-5

"They [unbelievers] think it strange that you do not plunge with them into the same flood of dissipation, and they heap abuse on you.

But they will have to give account to him who is ready to judge the living and the dead."

1 Corinthians 1:25

"For the foolishness of God is wiser than man's wisdom, and the weakness of God is stronger than man's strength."

Just to clarify, I'm not boasting of my wisdom; I'm boasting about God's wisdom. So, is it wrong for me to boast: 'that I know God?' Not at all, that's actually the 'one thing' that a person is allowed to boast about.

Jeremiah 9:23-24

"This is what the LORD says: "Let not the wise man boast of his wisdom or the strong man boast of his strength or the rich man boast of his riches, but let him who boasts boast about this: that he understands and knows me, that I am the LORD, who exercises kindness, justice and righteousness on earth, for in these I delight," declares the LORD."

Related page: Salvation to Maturity (a simple guide)

I apologize for only using American examples but that's what I'm familiar with. Peter also said that Satan "prowls" the entire world, seeking who he can "devour" so we must "stand firm in the faith" and "resist him". (1 Peter 5: 8-9) Of course, that includes resisting Satan's 'tools' also.